for the year 2007-2008...". The PBT for 2007-2008 was Rs.631.65 Cr. as per attached Profit and Loss Account Statement for 2007-2008. The 20 % of the PBT works out to Rs.126. 3 Cr. and it is quite sufficient to meet the affordability criteria, even after meeting the additional liability on account of merger of DA @ 78.2%. Therefore our demand of merger of 78.2% DA is fully justified.

## 2. PROVIDING AN OPPORTUNITY TO THE PRO-RATA PENSIONERS FOR EXERCISING FRESH OPTION:-

MTNL has stated that "....MTNL has already taken up the matter with DoT for consideration of giving fresh option for combined service pension to the pro-rata pension optees of MTNL vide its letter dated 21/03/2014..... However the decision in this regard has to be taken by the Govt. of India only."

We are awaiting for the favourable decision of the Government.

## 3. EXTENDING BENEFIT MEDICAL FACILITIES UNDER CGHS TO THE ABSORBEES, WORKING IN MTNL OR RETIRED :-

Now MTNL has agreed to extend the CGHS facility to the pensioners in a haphazard manner vide Corporate Office letter No. MTNL/CO/Med/Retiree/CGHS/2016/582/dtd 19/06/2016. We stoutly oppose the manner in which MTNL wants to impose it upon the pensioners. We demand:

**CGHS SHOULD BE OPTIONAL:** Now the scheme has been made mandatory. It is illogical. Pensioners of MTNL Mumbai are spread over through out India. But CGHS coverage is very limited, it covers only 28 towns in whole of India.

Even in Mumbai, more than 70 % of actual residential areas do not have CGHS dispensaries. Areas beyond Mulund in Eastern side. Areas beyond Kandivili in Western side have no dispensaries. Moreover the popular big hospitals like Hinduja, Jasfok, Llilavati, Asian Heart Institute, Fortis etc are not found in the empanelled list. So we demand that this CGHS facility should be optional alongwith the existing Medical Scheme.

As most of the pensioners are outside the coverage of CGHS, what is the alternate Medical Scheme for them ?

Subscription to CGHS should be 100% paid by MTNL: MTNL will be saving forever the yearly insurance premia as well as the OPD expenses which are spent now. It is an unexpected burden for the pensioners to bear the heavy amount of CGHS subscription. Moreover the terms of our absorption in MTNL mentions about a better medical scheme on absorption. So it is fully justified that MTNL should bear the full amount of life subscription to CGHS for all the existing pensioners as well as the future pensioners with out any cut off date.

With regards

Sincerely Yours,

- RTOWA

M.SC/ST EA

WORKER

SUN

MKII

BTF

South

5 MTHI PERSIONERS